



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_7110
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF PHOSPHORUS AND RHIZOBIA INOCULATION ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF SOYBEAN (Glycine max L. Merrill)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1-ISU-international standard unit must use(24-25-26 212-266-267-284-292-310 the unit g/m² transfer to kg/ha). 2- The year (20??/??- 8-) must mention. 3- the key word very long-35- 5- conclusion of result not found eg-212-321-235 6-reference-355-359-361- 363-368-370-373-375-385-393-399, not found inside the manuscript 7- reference-55-193 not listed in reference. 8- references not listed in order. 9- reference -408- what(b) mean.	ISU conversions have been done accordingly The year has been mentioned in the abstract as proposed The key words have been reduced ALL corrections on references have been effected accordingly.
Minor REVISION comments	1-it better to do this work for two season and then compared the two seasons , the result and conclusion will give strong recommendation.	The research was indeed conducted in the Major and Minor cropping seasons of 2012 and repeated in 2013. It was unfortunate that the manuscript presented reported only one year. This is how come the authors are sure of the results and believe the conclusions are strong enough in the current research.
Optional/General comments	The experiment is very important , and result good, but the comment is very long.	Comments have been revised accordingly.